# WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

#### Present-

Hon'ble Justice Soumitra Pal, Hon'ble Chairman & Hon'ble Dr. Subesh Kumar Das, Administrative Member.

# Case No. OA 833 of 2019. SMT. LILABATI SAMANTA – VERSUS- THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL AND ORS.

	SMT. LILABATI SAMANTA  — VERSUS- THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL AND ORS	).
Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature
1	2	of parties when necessary 3
4	For the Applicant : Mr. U.K. Bhattacharyya,	
11.2.2020.	Advocate.	
	For the State respondent : Mr. A. Datta,	
	Departmental representative.	
	In the application, Lilabati Samanta, the	
	applicant, wife of late Jagannath Samanta, has prayed for	
	certain reliefs, the relevant portion of which is as under :-	
	, , , ,	
	1298/Jela/Bhumi/Jhargram/2018 dated 04.12.2018 may	
	be quashed and set aside.	
	iii) The concerned respondents may be	
	directed by this Hon'ble Tribunal to grant and pay the	
	monthly pension with arrear computing the qualifying	
	service of the husband of the petitioner after including the	
	service period as casual worker from 01.01.1975 to 1984	
	with the service rendered by the petitioner as approved	
	Group 'D' staff in regular Government Establishment for	
	the period 21.04.2011 to 30.09.2016 in the interest of	
	justice.	
	iv) The concerned respondents may be	
	directed by this Hon'ble Tribunal to condone the	
	deficiency in service period for computation of qualifying	

SMT	111	ΔΒΔΤΙ	SAM	$\Delta NT\Delta$ .

F	ი	r	m	۱ ا	N	O	

••••	
Vs.	

Case No. **OA 833 of 2019.** 

Carial Na and	Order of the Trib week with signed was	Office action with date
Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature  2	and dated signature
Date of order.	2	of parties when necessary
	comics of 10 years for navment of minimum menthly	3
	service of 10 years for payment of minimum monthly	
	pension.	
	v) The respondent may be directed by	
	this Hon'ble Tribunal to grant and pay the monthly	
	pension with arrear after consideration and disposal of	
	the representation dated 22.10.2018 submitted by the	
	petitioner".	
	It appears Jagannath Samanta had worked as	
	Tahasil Mohury from 1975 to 1984. Thereafter, pursuant	
	to the orders of the Court, he was absorbed as Group 'D'	
	in Government regular establishment and had worked	
	from 21 <sup>st</sup> April, 2011 to 30 <sup>th</sup> September, 2016 when he	
	superannuated. Jagannath Samanta had expired on 8 <sup>th</sup>	
	April, 2017. It appears thereafter the applicant had filed a	
	representation dated 22 <sup>nd</sup> October, 2018 before the	
	Principal Secretary, Land & Land Reforms Department,	
	Government of West Bengal, appearing at page 65 of the	
	application for grant of pension. It appears that the said	
	application was dismissed by an order contained in the	
	memo dated 4 <sup>th</sup> December, 2018 issued by the Additional	
	District Magistrate and the District Land and Land	
	Reforms Officer, Jhargram, the respondent no. 2(e)	

CNAT	IIIARAT	I SAMANTA.
JIVI I .	LILADAI	I JAIVIAIVIA.

Fo	rn	n I	N	0
ıv			v	U.

Vs.

Case No. **OA 833 of 2019.** 

Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order. 1	2	and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	holding that as the husband of the applicant had worked	
	for less than ten years in the Government regular	
	establishment, she is not entitled to the reliefs as prayed	
	for. It is submitted by Mr. Uttam Kumar Bhattacharyya,	
	learned advocate for the applicant that as the claim of	
	the applicant was rejected by not giving an opportunity	
	of hearing which is in violation of principles of natural	
	justice, the impugned memo may be set aside. Moreover,	
	the Additional District Magistrate and District Land and	
	Land Reforms Officer, Jhargram lacks the authority to	
	decide the issue of grant of pension. Submission is that	
	though in the representation dated 22 <sup>nd</sup> October, 2018 it	
	has been stated that she was praying for pension, in	
	effect she is praying grant of family pension.	
	Mr. A. Datta, the Departmental	
	representative for all the respondents submits that the	
	order contained in the memo dated 4 <sup>th</sup> December, 2018	
	is just and proper as the Additional District Magistrate	
	and District Land and Land Reforms Officer, Jhargram,	
	the respondent no. 2(e) is the authority to sanction	
	pension. Moreover as the husband of the applicant had	
	served in the Government department for about five	

SMT.	LILABATI	SAMANTA.

Form No.
----------

••••	•••••		
		Vs.	

### Case No. **OA 833 of 2019.**

Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3
	years, she is not entitled to pension as under the Rules a	
	Government employee has to serve for ten years.	
	Heard Mr. Bhattacharyya, learned advocate	
	for the applicant and Mr. Datta, the Departmental	
	representative.	
	Perusing the memo dated 4 <sup>th</sup> December,	
	2018, with regard to the contention of the applicant	
	regarding lack of jurisdiction of the respondent no. 2(e) in	
	deciding the issue, we are of the view that no provision of	
	law or regulation has been shown to demonstrate that	
	the said respondent lacks jurisdiction save and except	
	referring to Article 166 of the Constitution of India. Since	
	we find that the said respondent is the pension	
	sanctioning authority, we are of the view that the said	
	respondent was competent to pass the order under	
	challenge. However, since we find the order contained in	
	the memo dated 4 <sup>th</sup> December, 2018 was passed without	
	giving the applicant an opportunity of hearing which was	
	in violation of the principles of natural justice, the order	
	contained in the memo dated 4 <sup>th</sup> December, 2018 of the	
	application cannot be sustained and is therefore set aside	
	and quashed. The application is allowed.	
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

SMT. LILABATI SAMANTA
-----------------------

Form No.	
	Vs.

### Case No. **OA 833 of 2019.**

Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
2	and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
Under the facts and circumstances, the	
applicant, is at liberty to file an application for grant of	
family pension afresh before the Additional District	
Magistrate and District Land and Land Reforms Officer,	
Jhargram in the district of Jhargram- the respondent no.	
2(e), within eight weeks from the date of obtaining the	
certified copy of this order and if such application is filed,	
the said respondent shall dispose of the same by passing	
a reasoned order to be communicated to the parties	
within twelve weeks after giving an opportunity of	
hearing dealing with the judgements and circulars which	
may be relied on.	
(Subesh Kumar Das) (Soumitra Pal)  Member (A). Chairman.	
	Under the facts and circumstances, the applicant, is at liberty to file an application for grant of family pension afresh before the Additional District Magistrate and District Land and Land Reforms Officer, Jhargram in the district of Jhargram- the respondent no. 2(e), within eight weeks from the date of obtaining the certified copy of this order and if such application is filed, the said respondent shall dispose of the same by passing a reasoned order to be communicated to the parties within twelve weeks after giving an opportunity of hearing dealing with the judgements and circulars which may be relied on.  (Subesh Kumar Das) (Soumitra Pal)